arXiv:1603.02556v1 [math.AP] 8 Mar 2016

Local Lipschitz Stability for Inverse Robin Problems in Elliptic and Parabolic Systems

Daijun Jiang* Jun Zou†

March 9, 2016

Abstract

In this work, we shall study the nonlinear inverse problems of recovering the Robin coefficients in elliptic and parabolic systems of second order, and establish their local Lipschitz stabilities. Some local Lipschitz stability was derived in [3] for an elliptic inverse Robin problem. We shall first restructure the arguments in [3] for the local Lipschitz stability so that the stability follows from three basic conditions for the elliptic inverse Robin problem. The new arguments are then generalized to help establish a novel local Lipschitz stability for parabolic inverse Robin problems.

Key Words. Inverse Robin problems, local Lipschitz stability, elliptic and parabolic equations

1 Introduction

We are concerned in this work with the determination of a spatially dependent Robin coefficient in both stationary elliptic and time-dependent parabolic systems from measurement data on a partial boundary. This is a highly nonlinear inverse problem and arises in several applications of practical importance. The Robin coefficient may characterize the thermal properties of conductive materials on the interface or certain physical processes near the boundary, e.g., it represents the corrosion damage profile in corrosion detection [8][10], and indicates the thermal property in quenching processes [15].

For the description of the model problems that are considered in this work, we let $\Omega \subset R^2$ be an open bounded and connected domain, with a boundary $\partial\Omega$, which consists of two disjointed parts $\partial\Omega = \Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_a$. Γ_i and Γ_a are respectively the part of the boundary that is inaccessible and accessible to experimental measurements. Then we shall consider the inverse Robin problems associated with the following elliptic boundary value problem

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcll} -\nabla\cdot(a(\mathbf{x})\nabla u)+c(\mathbf{x})u &=& f(\mathbf{x}) & \text{in } \Omega\,,\\ a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}+\gamma(\mathbf{x})u &=& g(\mathbf{x}) & \text{on } \Gamma_i\,,\\ a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} &=& h(\mathbf{x}) & \text{on } \Gamma_a\,, \end{array}\right. \tag{1.1}$$

and parabolic initial boundary value problem

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcll} \partial_t u-\nabla\cdot(a(\mathbf{x})\nabla u) &=& f(\mathbf{x},t) & \text{in } \Omega\times[0,T]\,,\\ a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}+\gamma(\mathbf{x})u &=& g(\mathbf{x},t) & \text{on } \Gamma_i\times[0,T]\,,\\ a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} &=& h(\mathbf{x},t) & \text{on } \Gamma_a\times[0,T]\,,\\ u(\mathbf{x},0) &=& u_0(\mathbf{x}) & \text{in } \Omega\,. \end{array}\right. \tag{1.2}$$

The coefficients $a(\mathbf{x})$ and $c(\mathbf{x})$ are the heat conductivity and radiation coefficient, satisfying that $a_1 \le a(\mathbf{x}) \le a_2$ and $c_1 \le c(\mathbf{x}) \le c_2$ in Ω, where a_1, a_2 and c_1, c_2 are positive constants. Functions f, g and h are the source strength, ambient temperature and heat flux respectively. Both coefficients $\gamma(\mathbf{x})$ in (1.1)

*School of Mathematics and Statistics & Hubei Key Laboratory of Mathematical Sciences, Central China Normal University, Wuhan, 430079, P.R.China. The work of this author was financially supported by self-determined research funds of CCNU from the colleges' basic research and operation of MOE (No. CCNU14A05039), National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11326233, 11401241 and 11571265). (jiangdaijun@mail.ccnu.edu.cn)

†Department of Mathematics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong. (zou@math.cuhk.edu.hk). The work of this author was substantially supported by Hong Kong RGC grants (Projects 14306814 and 405513).

and (1.2) represent the Robin coefficients, which will be the focus of our interest and are assumed to stay in the following feasible constraint set:

$$K := \Big\{\gamma \in L^2(\Gamma_i);\ 0 < \underline{\gamma} \le \gamma(\mathbf{x}) \le \bar{\gamma}\ a.e.\ \text{on}\ \Gamma_i\Big\},$$

where $\underline{\gamma}$ and $\bar{\gamma}$ are two positive constants. For convenience, we often write the solutions of the systems (1.1) and (1.2) as $u(\gamma)$ to emphasize their dependence on the Robin coefficient γ.

We are now ready to formulate the inverse problems of our interest in this work.

Elliptic Inverse Robin Problem: recover the Robin coefficient $\gamma(\mathbf{x})$ in (1.1) on the inaccessible part Γ_i from the measurable data z of u on the accessible part Γ_a.

Parabolic inverse Robin problem: recover the Robin coefficient $\gamma(\mathbf{x})$ in (1.2) on the inaccessible part Γ_i from the measurable data z of u on the accessible part Γ_a over the time range $[0, T]$.

Thanks for the unique continuation theorem [11], the uniqueness of the elliptic and parabolic inverse Robin problems has been well established [2][12][13]. The more challenging stability issue of the inverse Robin problems has also been investigated widely, but most of the studies are the global logarithmic type of stability estimates; see [1][4][5]. There are a few results on the Lipschitz stability of inverse Robin problems associated with the following elliptic equation; see [2] [3] [9]:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcll} -\triangle u &=& 0 & \text{in}\quad \Omega\,, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + \gamma(\mathbf{x}) u &=& 0 & \text{on}\quad \Gamma_i\,, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} &=& g(\mathbf{x}) & \text{on}\quad \Gamma_a\,. \end{array}\right. \tag{1.3}$$

First in [2], a monotone global Lipschitz stability estimate was provided. More specifically, let $u(\gamma_i)$ be the solution of the boundary value problem (1.3) corresponding to $\gamma = \gamma_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, then an estimate of the form

$$\|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\|_1 \le C\|(u(\gamma_1) - u(\gamma_2))|_{\Gamma_a}\|_2,$$

can be proved [2], provided that $\gamma_1 \le \gamma_2$, where $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ are some appropriate norms, which are different from the standard Sobolev H^1- and H^2-norms. Later a different local Lipschitz stability was derived in [3] without the monotony condition. The essential technique in the proof of the local Lipschitz stability is the construction of a mapping, which is proved to be a C^1-diffeomorphism in a neighborhood of a fixed Robin coefficient $\gamma_0 \in K$. Very recently, a global Hölder stability for recovering an analytic or piecewise constant Robin coefficient was shown in [9] from a single pair of Cauchy data on an accessible part of the boundary when the inaccessible portion is either a line segment or part of a circle. The main arguments rely on the Schwarz reflection principle with the Robin boundary condition and a novel interior estimate derived from elliptic Carleman estimates.

However, to our best knowledge, there are still no results available for the local Lipschitz stability for parabolic inverse Robin problems. This will be the main novelty and contribution of the present work. We shall first restructure the arguments in [3] for the local Lipschitz stability of the inverse Robin problem associated with the simple elliptic model (1.3) so that the stability follows from three basic conditions. The new arguments are then generalized to help us establish a novel local Lipschitz stability for the inverse Robin problem associated with the general parabolic system (1.2), with some tricky and delicate detailed modifications due to the complication of time dependence. It is the first time in literature to demonstrate local Lipschitz stabilities for the inverse Robin problems associated with time-dependent parabolic equations.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some crucial conditions adopted in [3] will be verified and the local Lipschitz stability for the elliptic inverse Robin problem is then given. In Section 3, the main result of this work about a new local Lipschitz stability will be established for the parabolic inverse Robin problem of our interest.

Throughout this work, C is often used for a generic constant. We shall write the norms of the spaces $H^s(\Omega)$, $L^2(\Omega)$, $H^s(\Gamma)$ and $L^2(\Gamma)$ for some $\Gamma \subset \partial\Omega$ as $\|\cdot\|_{s,\Omega}$, $\|\cdot\|_\Omega$, $\|\cdot\|_{s,\Gamma}$ and $\|\cdot\|_\Gamma$ respectively.

2 Local Lipschitz stability for elliptic inverse Robin problem

In this section, we shall restructure the arguments in [3] for the local Lipschitz stability of the elliptic inverse Robin problem stated in the previous section so that the stability comes as a consequence of three basic conditions, which are described in three lemmas below. We first present the classical well-posedness of the forward system (1.1).

Lemma 2.1. *Let Ω be an open bounded and connected domain with a C^2-boundary $\partial\Omega$. We assume that $a \in H^1(\Omega)$ and $c \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ with positive lower and upper bounds a_1, a_2 and c_1, c_2 respectively, and*

$$\gamma \in K, \quad f \in L^2(\Omega), \quad g \in L^2(\Gamma_i), \quad h \in L^2(\Gamma_a).$$

Then there exists a unique solution $u \in H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)$ to system (1.1), with the estimates (cf. [14][3])

$$\|u\|_{3/2,\Omega} \le C(\|f\|_\Omega + \|g\|_{\Gamma_i} + \|h\|_{\Gamma_a}). \tag{2.1}$$

The next lemma studies the differentiability of the solution $u(\gamma)$ to system (1.1) and gives its Fréchet derivative.

Lemma 2.2. *For any $\gamma \in K$ and $d \in L^\infty(\Gamma_i)$, let $u(\gamma)$ and $\tilde{w} = \tilde{w}(\gamma) \in H^1(\Omega)$ be respectively the solutions to the system (1.1) and the following system:*

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla\cdot(a(\mathbf{x})\nabla\tilde{w}) + c(\mathbf{x})\tilde{w} &= 0 & \text{in} & \Omega, \\ a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial\tilde{w}}{\partial n} + \gamma\,\tilde{w} &= -d\,u(\gamma) & \text{on} & \Gamma_i, \\ a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial\tilde{w}}{\partial n} &= 0 & \text{on} & \Gamma_a, \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

then $u(\gamma)$ is continuously Fréchet differentiable and its derivative $u'(\gamma)d$ at direction $d \in L^\infty(\Gamma_i)$ is given by $\tilde{w}(\gamma)$.

Proof. For any $\gamma \in K$ and $d \in L^\infty(\Gamma_i)$ such that $\gamma + d \in K$, let $v \equiv u(\gamma + d) - u(\gamma) - u'(\gamma)d$. Then we can easily see

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla\cdot(a(\mathbf{x})\nabla v) + c(\mathbf{x})v &= 0 & \text{in} & \Omega, \\ a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial v}{\partial n} + \gamma\,v &= -d(u(\gamma+d) - u(\gamma)) & \text{on} & \Gamma_i, \\ a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial v}{\partial n} &= 0 & \text{on} & \Gamma_a. \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

From estimate (2.1) and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{1,\Omega} &\le C\|d(u(\gamma+d) - u(\gamma))\|_{\Gamma_i} \le C\|d\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_i)}\|u(\gamma+d) - u(\gamma)\|_{\frac{1}{2},\Gamma_i} \\ &\le C\|d\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_i)}\|u(\gamma+d) - u(\gamma)\|_{1,\Omega}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\psi \equiv u(\gamma + d) - u(\gamma)$ satisfies the following elliptic equation

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla\cdot(a(\mathbf{x})\nabla\psi) + c(\mathbf{x})\psi &= 0 & \text{in} & \Omega, \\ a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial n} + \gamma\,\psi &= -du(\gamma+d) & \text{on} & \Gamma_i, \\ a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial n} &= 0 & \text{on} & \Gamma_a. \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

Similarly, we can show from estimate (2.1) and the Sobolev embedding theorem that

$$\|\psi\|_{1,\Omega} \le C\|du(\gamma+d)\|_{\Gamma_i} \le C\|d\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_i)}\|u(\gamma+d)\|_{\frac{1}{2},\Gamma_i} \le C\|d\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_i)}\|u(\gamma+d)\|_{1,\Omega} \le C\|d\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_i)}.$$

Thus it follows directly that

$$\frac{\|u(\gamma+d) - u(\gamma) - u'(\gamma)d\|_{1,\Omega}}{\|d\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_i)}} \to 0 \ \text{ as } \ \|d\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_i)} \to 0,$$

which means that $u(\gamma)$ is Fréchet differentiable and $u'(\gamma)d$ is its derivative.

Next, we verify the continuity of $u'(\gamma)d$. Let $\phi \in L^\infty(\Gamma_i)$, then $y \equiv u'(\gamma+\phi)d - u'(\gamma)d$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla\cdot(a(\mathbf{x})\nabla y) + c(\mathbf{x})y &= 0 & \text{in} & \Omega, \\ a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial y}{\partial n} + \gamma\,y &= -d(u(\gamma+\phi) - u(\gamma)) - \phi u(\gamma+\phi) & \text{on} & \Gamma_i, \\ a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial y}{\partial n} &= 0 & \text{on} & \Gamma_a. \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

Using estimate (2.1) again, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\|_{1,\Omega} &\le C(\|d(u(\gamma+\phi) - u(\gamma))\|_{\Gamma_i} + \|\phi u(\gamma+\phi)\|_{\Gamma_i}) \\ &\le C\|d\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_i)}\|u(\gamma+\phi) - u(\gamma)\|_{1,\Omega} + C\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_i)}\|u(\gamma+\phi)\|_{\Gamma_i} \\ &\le C\|d\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_i)}\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_i)} + C\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_i)}, \end{aligned}$$

clearly y converges to 0 in $H^1(\Omega)$ when ϕ tends 0 in $L^\infty(\Gamma_i)$. $\sharp$

Now let $\gamma^* \in K$ be the true Robin coefficient for the proposed elliptic Robin inverse problem and $\omega(d)$ satisfy the following elliptic system

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} -\nabla\cdot(a(\mathbf{x})\nabla w(d)) + c(\mathbf{x})w(d) &=& 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega\,, \\ a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial w(d)}{\partial n} + \gamma^*\, w(d) &=& -d \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_i\,, \\ a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial w(d)}{\partial n} &=& 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_a\,. \end{array}\right. \tag{2.6}$$

We define a bounded and linear operator from $L^2(\Gamma_i)$ to $L^2(\Gamma_i)$ as follows:

$$N(d) = a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial w(d)}{\partial n} \ \text{ on } \ \Gamma_i, \ \ \forall\, d \in L^2(\Gamma_i).$$

Lemma 2.3. *N is bijective and $\|N^{-1}\|$ is bounded.*

Proof. It is obviously that N is injective. Now we prove N is surjective, which meas that for any $\varphi \in L^2(\Gamma_i)$, we want to seek $d \in L^2(\Gamma_i)$ such that $N(d) = \varphi$, i.e.,

$$\varphi + \gamma^* w(d) = -d \ \text{ on } \ \Gamma_i.$$

This identity leads to the following equation

$$O(d) = d + \varphi \ \text{ on } \ \Gamma_i, \tag{2.7}$$

where O is an operator formed by $O = BA$, with the operators A and B given by

$$\begin{aligned} A:&\quad d \in L^2(\Gamma_i) \to w(d) \in L^2(\Gamma_i)\,, \\ B:&\quad p \in L^2(\Gamma_i) \to -\gamma^* p \in L^2(\Gamma_i)\,. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of operator A, Sobolev embedding theorem and the estimate (2.1), we know that

$$\|A(d)\|_{1,\Gamma_i} = \|w(d)\|_{1,\Gamma_i} \le C\|w(d)\|_{3/2,\Omega} \le C\|d\|_{\Gamma_i}.$$

Since $H^1(\Gamma_i)$ is compactly embedded in $L^2(\Gamma_i)$, we deduce that A is compact. On the other hand, as $\gamma^* \in K$, we know the operator B is bounded. Hence the operator $O = BA$ is also compact.

Next, we claim that 1 does not belong to the spectrum of the compact operator O. Then by the Fredholm alternative theorem [6], we know that $(O - I)^{-1}$ is linear and bounded, and therefore $d = (O - I)^{-1}(\varphi)$.

Indeed, if 1 is an eigenvalue of O, then we can find an element $d \in L^2(\Gamma_i)$, not identically equal to zero, such that $O(d) = d$, which is equivalent to $N(d) = 0$. Then by the definition of N, we have $a(\mathbf{x})\partial w(d)/\partial n = 0$ on Γ_i. Moreover, noting that $w(d)$ is the solution of the system (2.6), we can easily verify that $w(d)$ is also the solution of the following elliptic system

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} -\nabla\cdot(a(\mathbf{x})\nabla w) + c(\mathbf{x})w &=& 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega\,, \\ a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial w}{\partial n} &=& 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_i\,, \\ a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial w}{\partial n} &=& 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_a\,. \end{array}\right. \tag{2.8}$$

Therefore we know $w(d) = 0$ in Ω, so is d. But this contradicts the fact that d is an eigenfunction.

As N is linear, bounded and bijective, then by the Open Mapping Theorem [6], we know that the inverse N^{-1} exists and $\|N^{-1}\|$ is bounded. $\sharp$

Finally, we present the following theorem for the local Lipschitz stability, which was proved in [3] for the simple elliptic model (1.3) under the three basic conditions: the solution $u(\gamma)$ to system (1.3) belongs to $H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)$; $u(\gamma)$ is continuously Fréchet differentiable; and the operator N is an isomorphism. Clearly these conditions follow now directly from Lemmas 2.1-2.3 for the more general elliptic system (1.1). For convenience, we shall write for any positive constant b that

$$N(\gamma^*, b) = \{\gamma \in K;\ \|\gamma - \gamma^*\|_{\Gamma_i} \le b\}.$$

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that $u(\gamma^*) \ge c_0 > 0$ on Γ_i, then there exists a positive constant b such that the following stability estimate holds:*

$$\|u(\gamma_1) - u(\gamma_2)\|_{\Gamma_a} \ge C\|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\|_{\Gamma_i} \quad \forall\, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in N(\gamma^*, b)\,. \tag{2.9}$$

3 Local Lipschitz stability for parabolic inverse Robin problem

In this section, we shall establish the local Lipschitz stability for the parabolic inverse Robin problem formulated in the Introduction. We first give a preliminary lemma for recalling the classical well-posedness of the forward system (1.2).

Lemma 3.1. (see [14]) *Let Ω be an open bounded and connected domain with a C^2-boundary $\partial\Omega$, $a(\mathbf{x}) \in H^1(\Omega)$, $c(\mathbf{x}) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ with positive lower and upper bounds a_1, a_2, c_1, c_2 respectively, $\gamma(\mathbf{x}) \in K$, $f(\mathbf{x},t) \in L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$, $g(\mathbf{x},t) \in L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_i))$, $h(\mathbf{x},t) \in L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_a))$ and $u_0(\mathbf{x}) \in H^1(\Omega)$, then there exists a unique solution $u \in L^2(0,T;H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega))$ to system (1.2) and it satisfies*

$$\|u\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega))} \le C(\|f\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + \|g\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_i))} + \|h\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_a))} + \|u_0\|_{1,\Omega}). \quad (3.1)$$

For our later use, we give the following norm equivalence lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *The norm $\|\cdot\|_{1,\Omega,\Gamma_a}$ defined by*

$$\|u\|^2_{1,\Omega,\Gamma_a} = \|\nabla u\|^2_\Omega + \|u\|^2_{\Gamma_a}$$

is equivalent to the standard norm $\|\cdot\|_{1,\Omega}$ on $H^1(\Omega)$.

Then we study the differentiability of the solution $u(\gamma)$ to system (1.2) and give its Fréchet derivative.

Lemma 3.3. *ŧlem:differentialp For any $\gamma \in K$ and $d \in L^\infty(\Gamma_i)$, let $u(\gamma)$ and $\tilde{w} = \tilde{w}(\gamma) \in L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))$ be respectively the solutions to the system* (1.2) *and the following system:*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{w} - \nabla\cdot(a(\mathbf{x})\nabla\tilde{w}) &= 0 & \text{in} & \Omega\times[0,T], \\ a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial\tilde{w}}{\partial n} + \gamma\, w &= -d\, u(\gamma) & \text{on} & \Gamma_i\times[0,T], \\ a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial\tilde{w}}{\partial n} &= 0 & \text{on} & \Gamma_a\times[0,T], \\ \tilde{w}(\mathbf{x},0) &= 0 & \text{in} & \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

then $u(\gamma)$ is continuously Fréchet differentiable and its derivative $u'(\gamma)d$ at direction $d \in L^\infty(\Gamma_i)$ is given by $\tilde{w}(\gamma)$.

Proof. For any $\gamma \in K$, $d \in L^\infty(\Gamma_i)$ such that $\gamma + d \in K$, let $v \equiv u(\gamma+d) - u(\gamma) - u'(\gamma)d$, then

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v - \nabla\cdot(a(\mathbf{x})\nabla v) &= 0 & \text{in} & \Omega\times[0,T], \\ a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial v}{\partial n} + \gamma\, v &= -d(u(\gamma+d) - u(\gamma)) & \text{on} & \Gamma_i\times[0,T], \\ a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial v}{\partial n} &= 0 & \text{on} & \Gamma_a\times[0,T], \\ v(\mathbf{x},0) &= 0 & \text{in} & \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

From estimate (3.1) and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))} &\le C\|d(u(\gamma+d) - u(\gamma))\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_i))} \\ &\le C\|d\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_i)}\|(u(\gamma+d) - u(\gamma))\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_i))} \\ &\le C\|d\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_i)}\|(u(\gamma+d) - u(\gamma))\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))}. \end{aligned}$$

As $\psi \equiv u(\gamma+d) - u(\gamma)$ satisfies the following parabolic equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \psi - \nabla\cdot(a(\mathbf{x})\nabla\psi) &= 0 & \text{in} & \Omega\times[0,T], \\ a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial n} + \gamma\,\psi &= -du(\gamma+d) & \text{on} & \Gamma_i\times[0,T], \\ a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial n} &= 0 & \text{on} & \Gamma_a\times[0,T], \\ \psi(\mathbf{x},0) &= 0 & \text{in} & \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

Similarly, we can show from estimate (3.1) and the Sobolev embedding theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))} &\le C\|du(\gamma+d)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_i))} \le C\|d\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_i)}\|u(\gamma+d)\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_i))} \\ &\le C\|d\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_i)}\|u(\gamma+d)\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))} \le C\|d\|_{L^\infty(\gamma_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it follows directly that

$$\frac{\|u(\gamma+d)-u(\gamma)-u'(\gamma)d\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))}}{\|d\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_i)}} \to 0 \ \text{ as } \ \|d\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_i)} \to 0,$$

which means that $u(\gamma)$ is Fréchet differentiable and $u'(\gamma)d$ is its derivative.

Next, we verify the continuity of $u'(\gamma)d$. Let $\phi \in L^\infty(\Gamma_i)$, then $y \equiv u'(\gamma+\phi)d - u'(\gamma)d$ satisfies

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcll} \partial_t y - \nabla\cdot(a(\mathbf{x})\nabla y) &=& 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega\times[0,T]\,, \\ a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial y}{\partial n} + \gamma\, y &=& -d(u(\gamma+\phi)-u(\gamma)) - \phi u(\gamma+\phi) & \text{on} \quad \Gamma_i\times[0,T]\,, \\ a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial y}{\partial n} &=& 0 & \text{on} \quad \Gamma_a\times[0,T]\,, \\ y(\mathbf{x},0) &=& 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega\,. \end{array}\right. \tag{3.5}$$

Once again estimate (3.1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\|_{1,\Omega} &\le C(\|d(u(\gamma+\phi)-u(\gamma))\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_i))} + \|\phi u(\gamma+\phi)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_i))}) \\ &\le C\|d\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_i)}\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_i)} + C\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_i)}, \end{aligned}$$

which y converges to 0 in $H^1(\Omega)$ when $\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_i)}$ tends to 0. ♯

Now let $\gamma^* \in K$ be the true Robin coefficient for the proposed parabolic Robin inverse problem and assume $u(\gamma^*) \ge c_0 > 0$ on $\Gamma_i\times[0,T]$. Then we introduce a function $\omega(p)$ for any $p \in L^2(\Gamma_i)$, which satisfies the following parabolic system

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcll} \partial_t w(p) - \nabla\cdot(a(\mathbf{x})\nabla w(p)) &=& 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega\times[0,T]\,, \\ a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial w(p)}{\partial n} + \gamma^*\, w(p) &=& -pu(\gamma^*) & \text{on} \quad \Gamma_i\times[0,T]\,, \\ a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial w(p)}{\partial n} &=& 0 & \text{on} \quad \Gamma_a\times[0,T]\,, \\ w(p)(\mathbf{x},0) &=& 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega\,. \end{array}\right. \tag{3.6}$$

With the help of $\omega(p)$, we can define a bounded and linear operator from $L^2(\Gamma_i)$ to $L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_i))$:

$$N(p) = a(\mathbf{x})\partial w(p)/\partial n \ \text{ on } \ \Gamma_i\times[0,T], \ \ \forall\, p\in L^2(\Gamma_i). \tag{3.7}$$

Lemma 3.4. *The operator N is bijective and $\|N^{-1}\|$ is bounded.*

Proof. It is easy to see the injectiveness of N. Now we prove N is surjective, which meas that for any element $\varphi \in L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_i))$, we want to seek a function $p \in L^2(\Gamma_i)$ such that $N(p)=\varphi$, i.e.,

$$\varphi + \gamma^* w(p) = -pu(\gamma^*) \ \text{ on } \ \Gamma_i\times[0,T].$$

This identity leads to the following equation

$$O(p) = p + \frac{1}{u(\gamma^*)}\varphi \ \text{ on } \ \Gamma_i\times[0,T], \tag{3.8}$$

where $O = BA$ and the operators A and B are given by

$$\begin{aligned} A:&\quad p\in L^2(\Gamma_i) \to w(p)\in L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_i))\,, \\ B:&\quad \mathbf{x}\in L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_i)) \to -\frac{\gamma^*}{u(\gamma^*)}\mathbf{x} \in L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_i))\,. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of operator A, Sobolev embedding theorem and the estimate (3.1), we know that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|A(p)\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Gamma_i))} = \|w(p)\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Gamma_i))} \le C\|w(p)\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega))} \\ \le\ & C\|pu(\gamma^*)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_i))} \le C\|p\|_{L^2(\Gamma_i)}\|u(\gamma^*)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^\infty(\Gamma_i))} \le C\|p\|_{L^2(\Gamma_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $L^2(0,T;H^1(\Gamma_i))$ is compactly embedded in $L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_i))$, we deduce that A is compact. On the other hand, as $\gamma^* \in K$ and $u(\gamma^*) \ge c_0 > 0$ on $\Gamma_i\times[0,T]$, we have

$$\|B(\mathbf{x})\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_i))} \le \frac{\bar\gamma}{c_0}\|\mathbf{x}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_i))},$$

which implies that the operator B is bounded, and thus the operator $O = BA$ is also compact.

Next, we claim that 1 does not belong to the spectrum of the compact operator O. Then by the Fredholm alternative theorem [6], we know that $(O - I)^{-1}$ exists and is bounded, and therefore $p = (O - I)^{-1}(\frac{\varphi}{u(\gamma^*)})$.

Indeed, if 1 is an eigenvalue of O, then we can find an element $p \in L^2(\Gamma_i)$ that is not identically equal to zero such that $O(p) = p$, which with (3.8) imply $\frac{1}{u(\gamma^*)}\varphi = 0$ on $\Gamma_i \times [0,T]$. As $u(\gamma^*) \geq c_0 > 0$ on $\Gamma_i \times [0,T]$, then we have $\varphi = 0$, so is $N(p) = 0$. Then by the definition of N, we have $a(\mathbf{x})\partial w(p)/\partial n = 0$ on $\Gamma_i \times [0,T]$. Moreover, noting that $w(p)$ is the solution of the system (3.6), we know that $w(p)$ is also the solution of the following parabolic system

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcll} \partial_t w - \nabla \cdot (a(\mathbf{x})\nabla w) &=& 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega \times [0,T]\,, \\ a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial w}{\partial n} &=& 0 & \text{on} \quad \Gamma_i \times [0,T]\,, \\ a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial w}{\partial n} &=& 0 & \text{on} \quad \Gamma_a \times [0,T]\,, \\ w(\mathbf{x},0) &=& 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega\,, \end{array}\right. \tag{3.9}$$

Therefore, we get $w(p) = 0$ in $\Omega \times [0,T]$ and then $pu(\gamma^*) = 0$. Since $u(\gamma^*) \geq c_0 > 0$ on $\Gamma_i \times [0,T]$, we get $p = 0$. But this contradicts the fact that p is an eigenfunction.

As N is linear, bounded and bijective, then by the Open Mapping Theorem, we know that N^{-1} exists and $\|N^{-1}\|$ is bounded. ♯

We are now ready to establish the local Lipschitz stability for the parabolic inverse Robin problem.

Theorem 3.1. *lthm:locale Assume that $u(\gamma^*) \geq c_0 > 0$ on $\Gamma_i \times [0,T]$, then there exists a constant $b > 0$ such that*

$$\|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\|_{\Gamma_i} \leq C\|u(\gamma_1) - u(\gamma_2)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_a))} \quad \forall\, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in N(\gamma^*, b)\,. \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$, we denote the solution of system (1.2) by $u^\epsilon(\gamma)$ when (f, g, h, u_0) is replaced by $(\epsilon f, \epsilon g, \epsilon h, \epsilon u_0)$. Clearly we see $u^\epsilon(\gamma) = \epsilon u(\gamma)$, and therefore $u^\epsilon(\gamma^*) \geq \epsilon c_0 > 0$ on $\Gamma_i \times [0,T]$.

We now consider on $L^2(\Gamma_i)$ the equivalent norm defined by

$$\|\gamma\|_\epsilon = \|\frac{1}{u^\epsilon(\gamma^*)}\gamma\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_i))}, \quad \forall \ \ \gamma \in L^2(\Gamma_i).$$

In what follows we use the notation $L^2_\epsilon(\Gamma_i)$ when $L^2(\Gamma_i)$ is equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_\epsilon$.

We introduce an important mapping

$$\theta_\epsilon : \gamma \in K \to a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial u^\epsilon(\gamma)}{\partial n} \in L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_i)),$$

and will show below that $\theta_\epsilon(\gamma^*)$ is a C^1-diffeomorphism. Indeed, as $u^\epsilon(\gamma^*)$ is continuously Fréchet-differentiable, then we get $\theta_\epsilon(\gamma^*)$ is Fréchet-differentiable and $\theta'_\epsilon(\gamma^*)p = a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial v^\epsilon(\gamma^*)p}{\partial n}$, where $v^\epsilon(\gamma^*)p$ is the solution of the following system

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcll} \partial_t(v^\epsilon(\gamma^*)p) - \nabla \cdot (a(\mathbf{x})\nabla(v^\epsilon(\gamma^*)p)) &=& 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega \times [0,T]\,, \\ a(\mathbf{x})\partial(v^\epsilon(\gamma^*)p)/\partial n + \gamma^*\,(v^\epsilon(\gamma^*)p) &=& -p\,u^\epsilon(\gamma^*) & \text{on} \quad \Gamma_i \times [0,T]\,, \\ a(\mathbf{x})\partial(v^\epsilon(\gamma^*)p)/\partial n &=& 0 & \text{on} \quad \Gamma_a \times [0,T]\,, \\ (v^\epsilon(\gamma^*)p)(\mathbf{x},0) &=& 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega\,. \end{array}\right. \tag{3.11}$$

Noting the definition of $w(p)$ in (3.6) and the fact that $u^\epsilon(\gamma^*) = \epsilon u(\gamma^*)$, it is easy to see that $v^\epsilon(\gamma^*)p = \epsilon w(p)$, and therefore we obtain $\theta'_\epsilon(\gamma^*) = \epsilon N$ by the definitions of N in (3.7) and $\theta'_\epsilon(\gamma^*)$. Hence we know $\theta'_\epsilon(\gamma^*)$ is an isomorphism as N is an isomorphism and

$$\theta'_\epsilon(\gamma^*)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\epsilon}N^{-1},$$

and it follows from lemma 3.4 that

$$\|\theta'_\epsilon(\gamma^*)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}\|N^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}C.$$

Then by the inverse function theorem [7] we find that $\theta_\epsilon(\gamma^*)$ is a C^1-diffeomorphism on a neighborhood of γ^*, consequently $\theta_\epsilon(\gamma^*)^{-1}$ is locally Lipschitz continuous and $\|(\theta_\epsilon(\gamma^*)^{-1})'\| = \|\theta'_\epsilon(\gamma^*)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}C$. Thus,

there exists a neighborhood $N(\gamma^*, b)$ of γ^* such that the Lipschitz constant is less equal to $2\|(\theta_\epsilon(\gamma^*)^{-1})'\|$, i.e., for any $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in N(\gamma^*, b)$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\|_\epsilon &\leq 2\|(\theta_\epsilon(\gamma^*)^{-1})'\|\|a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial u^\epsilon(\gamma_1)}{\partial n} - a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial u^\epsilon(\gamma_2)}{\partial n}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_i))} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}C\|a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial u^\epsilon(\gamma_1)}{\partial n} - a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial u^\epsilon(\gamma_2)}{\partial n}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_i))}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Recalling the definition of $u(\gamma)$ in (1.2), we have the following identity on Γ_i:

$$\begin{aligned}& a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial u^\epsilon(\gamma_1)}{\partial n} - a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial u^\epsilon(\gamma_2)}{\partial n} \\ =\ & \gamma_2 u^\epsilon(\gamma_2) - \gamma_1 u^\epsilon(\gamma_1) = (\gamma_2 - \gamma_1)u^\epsilon(\gamma_2) + \gamma_1(u^\epsilon(\gamma_2) - u^\epsilon(\gamma_1))\,.\end{aligned}$$

Then it follows readily from the definition of the norm $\|\cdot\|_\epsilon$ and the upper bound of any $\gamma \in K$ that

$$\begin{aligned}& \|a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial u^\epsilon(\gamma_1)}{\partial n} - a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial u^\epsilon(\gamma_2)}{\partial n}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_i))} \\ \leq\ & \|(\gamma_2 - \gamma_1)u^\epsilon(\gamma_2)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_i))} + \|\gamma_1(u^\epsilon(\gamma_2) - u^\epsilon(\gamma_1))\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_i))} \\ \leq\ & \|(\gamma_2 - \gamma_1)\|_\epsilon\|u^\epsilon(\gamma^*)u^\epsilon(\gamma_2)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^\infty(\Gamma_i))} + \bar{\gamma}\|(u^\epsilon(\gamma_2) - u^\epsilon(\gamma_1))\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_i))}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Further using the Sobolev embedding theorem and the estimate (3.1), we derive

$$\begin{aligned}& \|u^\epsilon(\gamma^*)u^\epsilon(\gamma_2)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^\infty(\Gamma_i))} \leq C\|u^\epsilon(\gamma^*)\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Gamma_i))}\|u^\epsilon(\gamma_2)\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Gamma_i))} \\ \leq\ & C\|u^\epsilon(\gamma^*)\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{3/2}(\Omega))}\|u^\epsilon(\gamma_2)\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{3/2}(\Omega))} \leq C\epsilon^2.\end{aligned}$$

Taking the above estimate and (3.13) in (3.12) yields

$$\|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\|_\epsilon \leq C_1\epsilon\|\gamma_2 - \gamma_1\|_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon}C_2\|u^\epsilon(\gamma_2) - u^\epsilon(\gamma_1)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_i))}.$$

Now choosing $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $1 - C_1\epsilon > 0$ for all $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$, then we obtain

$$\|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\|_\epsilon \leq \tilde{C}(\epsilon)\|u^\epsilon(\gamma_2) - u^\epsilon(\gamma_1)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_i))}, \tag{3.14}$$

where $\tilde{C}(\epsilon) = C_2/(\epsilon(1 - C_1\epsilon))$.

Next we estimate $\phi^\epsilon := u^\epsilon(\gamma_1) - u^\epsilon(\gamma_2)$. We first use the Sobolev embedding theorem and the equivalent norm $\|\cdot\|_{1,\Omega,\Gamma_a}$ defined in Lemma 3.2 to deduce

$$\begin{aligned}& \|u^\epsilon(\gamma_2) - u^\epsilon(\gamma_1)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_i))} \leq C\|u^\epsilon(\gamma_2) - u^\epsilon(\gamma_1)\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))} \\ \leq\ & C_3(\|\nabla(u^\epsilon(\gamma_2) - u^\epsilon(\gamma_1))\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + \|u^\epsilon(\gamma_2) - u^\epsilon(\gamma_1)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_a))}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Noting that ϕ^ϵ solves the following parabolic system

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcll} \partial_t\phi^\epsilon - \nabla\cdot(a(\mathbf{x})\nabla\phi^\epsilon) &=& 0 & \text{in}\quad \Omega\times[0,T]\,, \\ a(\mathbf{x})\partial\phi^\epsilon/\partial n + \gamma_1\,\phi^\epsilon &=& (\gamma_2 - \gamma_1)u^\epsilon(\gamma_2) & \text{on}\quad \Gamma_i\times[0,T]\,, \\ a(\mathbf{x})\partial\phi^\epsilon/\partial n &=& 0 & \text{on}\quad \Gamma_a\times[0,T]\,, \\ \phi^\epsilon(\mathbf{x},0) &=& 0 & \text{in}\quad \Omega\,, \end{array}\right. \tag{3.16}$$

we know

$$\begin{aligned}& \|\nabla(u^\epsilon(\gamma_2) - u^\epsilon(\gamma_1))\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \leq C\|(\gamma_2 - \gamma_1)u^\epsilon(\gamma_2)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_i))} \\ \leq\ & \|\gamma_2 - \gamma_1\|_\epsilon\|u^\epsilon(\gamma^*)u^\epsilon(\gamma_2)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^\infty(\Gamma_i))} \leq C\epsilon^2\|\gamma_2 - \gamma_1\|_\epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Applying this estimate to (3.15), we derive

$$\|u^\epsilon(\gamma_2) - u^\epsilon(\gamma_1)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_i))} \leq C_4\epsilon^2\|\gamma_2 - \gamma_1\|_\epsilon + C_5\|u^\epsilon(\gamma_2) - u^\epsilon(\gamma_1)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_a))}. \tag{3.17}$$

But using estimates (3.14) and (3.17), we obtain

$$\|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\|_\epsilon \leq \tilde{C}(\epsilon)C_4\epsilon^2\|\gamma_2 - \gamma_1\|_\epsilon + \tilde{C}(\epsilon)C_5\|u^\epsilon(\gamma_2) - u^\epsilon(\gamma_1)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_a))}. \tag{3.18}$$

Noting $\tilde{C}(\epsilon)\epsilon^2 = C_2\epsilon/(1-C_1\epsilon)$ tends to 0 as $\epsilon \to 0$, we can fix $\epsilon_* \in (0, \epsilon_0]$ such that $1 - \tilde{C}(\epsilon_*)C_4\epsilon_*^2 > 0$ and obtain

$$\|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\|_{\epsilon_*} \leq C(\epsilon_*)\|u^{\epsilon_*}(\gamma_2) - u^{\epsilon_*}(\gamma_1)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_a))}, \tag{3.19}$$

where $C(\epsilon_*) = \frac{\tilde{C}(\epsilon_*)C_5}{1-\tilde{C}(\epsilon_*)C_4\epsilon_*^2} = \frac{C_2C_5}{\epsilon^*(1-(C_1+C_2C_4)\epsilon_*)}$.

Now using the equivalence between the norms $\|\cdot\|_{\epsilon_*}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Gamma_i)}$ and the relations $u^{\epsilon_*}(\gamma_1) = \epsilon_* u(\gamma_1)$ and $u^{\epsilon_*}(\gamma_2) = \epsilon_* u(\gamma_2)$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\|_{L^2(\Gamma_i)} &\leq C^*\|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\|_{\epsilon_*} \leq C^*C(\epsilon_*)\|u^{\epsilon_*}(\gamma_2) - u^{\epsilon_*}(\gamma_1)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_a))} \\
&= \epsilon_* C^*C(\epsilon_*)\|u(\gamma_2) - u(\gamma_1)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_a))} \\
&= \frac{C^*C_2C_5}{1-(C_1+C_2C_4)\epsilon_*}\|u(\gamma_1) - u(\gamma_2)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_a))} \\
&\leq C\|u(\gamma_1) - u(\gamma_2)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_a))},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

which completes the desired estimate. ♯

References

[1] S. Chaabane, I. Fellah, M. Jaoua and J. Leblond, Logarithmic sility estimates for a Robin coefficient in two-dimensional Laplace inverse problems. Inverse Problems, 20 (2004b), 47-59.

[2] S. Chaabane and M. Jaoua, Identification of Robin coefficients by the means of boundary measurements, Inverse problems, 15 (1999), 1425-1438.

[3] M. Choulli, An inverse problem in corrosion detection: stability estimates, Journal of Inverse and Ill-posed Problems, 12 (2004), no. 4, 349-367.

[4] M. Choulli and A. Jbalia, The problem of detecting corrosion by an electric measurement revisited, arXiv:1309.5901.

[5] M. Choulli and M. Yamamoto, Uniqueness and stability in determining the heat radiative coefficient, the initial temperature and a boundary coefficient in a parabolic equation, Nonlinear Analysis 69 (2008) 3983-3998.

[6] J.B. Conway, A Course in Functioal Analysis, Second Edition, New York: Springer (2003).

[7] Department of mathematics, East China Normal University, Mathematical Analysis, Third Edition, Beijing: Higher Education Press, (2002).

[8] W. Fang and M. Lu, A fast collocation method for an inverse boundary value problem, Int. J. Numer. Methods Eng., 59 (2004), 1563-1585.

[9] G.H. Hu and M. Yamamoto, Hölder stability estimate of Robin coefficient in corrosion detection with a single boundary measurement, Inverse Problems, 31 (2015), 115009.

[10] G. Inglese, An inverse problem in corrosion detection, Inverse Problems, 13 (1997), 977-994.

[11] V. Isakov, Inverse Problems for Partial Differential Equations, 2nd edn. New York: Springer (2006).

[12] D.J. Jiang, H. Feng and J. Zou, Quadratic convergence of Levenberg-Marquardt method for elliptic and parabolic inverse Robin problems, arXiv:1507.01711.

[13] B. Jin and J. Zou, Numerical estimation of the Robin coefficient in a stationary diffusion equation, IMA J. Numer. Anal. 30 (2010), no. 3, 677-701.

[14] J.L. Lions and E.Magenes, Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications, Springer, Berlin, 1972.

[15] A.M. Osman and J.V. Beck, Nonlinear inverse problem for the estimation of time-and-space dependent heat transfer coefficients, J. Thermophys. Heat Transf., 3 (1989), 146-152.